

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

242

CRM-M-13627-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.11.2022

RAM NATH AND ANR

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vikas, Advocate for
Mr. Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of FIR No.353 dated 30.06.2021 under Section 304-A IPC registered at Police Station Kaithal City, District Kaithal and all other proceedings arising therefrom.

Notice of motion in this case was issued on 31.03.2022, pursuant to which status report dated 17.08.2022 by way of affidavit of Ravinder Sangwan, HPS, DSP Kaithal, District Kaithal has been filed. Learned State counsel refers to Para 5 to 8 of the reply, which read thus:-

5. That on 15.04.2022 further investigation was conducted by ASI Randeep Singh no. 7/KTL. During investigation Sushil Kumar s/o Chandgi Ram r/o vill. Khurana Distt. Kaithal, owner/contractor M/S Bala JI Electrical Company Khurana was joined in the investigation. During interrogation the accused Sushil Kumar made his disclosure statement and disclosed his negligence and responsibility of the offence. The disclosure statement is annexed as Annexure-R-3 for kind

perusal of this Hon'ble court. The accused Sushil demarcated the place of occurrence and a scaled site-plan was prepared.

6. That during investigation Ram Nath SDO- petitioner no. 1 and Randhir Singh JE- petitioner no. 2 were found innocent. Both the petitioners were taken in column no. 12.

7. That after completion of the investigation, Final report under section 173(2) CR.P.C was prepared on 08.07.2022 and has been submitted for checking of Challan.

8. That in this case there is sufficient evidence against accused Sushil Kumar owner/contractor of M/S Bala Ji Electrical Co. vill. Khurana and he is not party in this petition.

Learned State counsel submits that in view of the fact that during the investigation, the petitioner was found innocent as stated, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioners affirms the aforesaid fact and also prays that the petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

In view of the aforesaid, no further orders are required to be passed in this case. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 09, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>