

**236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13288-2022
Date of Decision: 27.07.2022**

PARMOD **... PETITIONER**
VS.
STATE OF HARYANA **.. RESPONDENT**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. V.B.Godara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in case bearing FIR No.214 dated 29.04.2020 under Sections 306/34 IPC registered at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

Custody certificate has been placed on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage of the deceased was solemnized with the petitioner about six months prior to the occurrence. It was a love marriage solemnized without exchange of any dowry articles. The deceased had committed suicide on 29.04.2020. During the course of investigation, the offence under Section 304-B IPC was not made out and charge has been framed under Section 306 IPC. The parents of the petitioner i.e. Subhash Chander and Chandro have been granted regular bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court. During the course of trial, the statement of the complainant i.e. Raj Pal, the father of the deceased, Rajender Singh, the uncle and Balram, the uncle of the deceased have recorded, but they have not supported the prosecution version. The FIR has been lodged at the instance of Raj Pal, the father of the deceased.

Out of 15, only 03 witnesses have been examined so far. The petitioner is in

custody for a period of 02 years, 02 months and 26 days and not involved in any other case.

The bail application has been resisted on the score that the unnatural death took place within a period of six months from the date of marriage.

It is significant to note that the complainant-Raj Pal, the father of the deceased and uncles of the deceased have not supported the prosecution version during the course of trial. The petitioner is in custody for a period of 02 years, 02 months and 26 days and not involved in any other case. So far only 03 witnesses out of 15 witnesses have been examined and charge in the instant case has been framed under Section 306 IPC. Furthermore, the conclusion of trial is likely to take some time and no fruitful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner behind the bars.

In such circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, without making any observation on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

27.07.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No