

[266] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.616 of 2022

Date of Decision : 04.08.2022

Moti Lal Kharoo son of Shri Madsudhan Kharoo ...Petitioner

versus

Ajit Balaji Joshi, Chief Administrator, Haryana
Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran, Sector 6, Panchkula
and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 10.08.2020, in CWP No.11478 of 2020 in case titled as '**Moti Lal Kharoo and others** versus **State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) dated 10.08.2020 reveals that while issuing notice of motion, CWP No.11478 of 2020, was ordered to be heard along with CWP No.37631 of 2018 with same interim order as in CWP No.37631 of 2018 i.e. direction to the respondents to provisionally consider the petitioners for the draw of lots to be held, while making it clear that the same would not confer any equitable right in their favour.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to notice issued to the respondents vide order dated 31.03.2022, the respondents have complied with the interim order and provisionally included the petitioner's name in the draw of lots, therefore, in the

circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

04.08.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No.