

COCP-957-2019

Date of Decision : 14.03.2022

Sunita Rani

...Petitioner

versus

Bharat Bhushan Bharti and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 17.12.2018, in CWP-32259-2018.
3. Learned counsel contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondents to do the needful but during the pendency of the instant petition, CWP-32259-2018, has been dismissed, therefore, he does not press the petition and the same be disposed of as such.
4. The same is not opposed to by the learned DAG, Haryana.
5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.03.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No