

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13956-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.04.2022**

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of the impugned order dated 19.08.2019 (Annexure P-3) passed in CRA-77- 2018 titled 'Sunil Kumar Vs. Paramjit Kaur', vide which the learned Appellate Court had declined the prayer for exemption of personal appearance of the petitioner, cancelled the bail/surety bonds and forfeited the same to the State and his non-bailable warrants of arrest were issued and also the order dated 13.10.2021 (Annexure P-4) vide which the bail application filed by the petitioner was dismissed.

Learned State counsel points out that vide order dated 02.04.2022 passed by this Court, the petitioner was released on interim bail for a period of two weeks from the date of his release, with a direction to the petitioner to hand over a demand draft of Rs. 5 lakh in the name of

complainant/respondent, during the period of bail, but he failed to comply with the aforesaid order 02.04.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid factum.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It may be noticed that the conditional interim bail was granted to petitioner vide order dated 02.04.2022, but the order has not been complied with by the petitioner. The conduct of the petitioner speak volumes of his disobedience to the orders passed by the Court. Thus, the process of Court having been defeated by the petitioner, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

22.04.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No