

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-14901-2021

Date of Decision:02.09.2022

Deepali

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Deepika

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Komal Thakur, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Seeking transfer of the complaint to some other Court, the petitioner has come up before this Court, on the grounds that her estranged husband and her brother-in-law are leading advocates and they are likely to inference the trial.

Learned counsel for the respondent opposed the transfer on the grounds that this apprehension is flimsy.

Perusal of the prayer does not warrant any transfer.

Given above, the petition is dismissed with the observation that in case the petitioner notices any prejudices, then it shall be permissible for her to seek transfer by highlighting such reasons. In case, the respondent creates any obstruction in recording the statement, then it shall also be permissible to the petitioner to make an application in this regard only for getting protection for the date of his statement.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

02.09.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No