

437

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-5195-1998 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 2, 2022

Dr. V.K. Sharma and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K.S .Brar, Additional AG Haryana.

Ms. Mehak Sawhney, Advocate,
For respondent No.3.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition was filed in the year 1998, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Quo-Warranto/Certiorari* quashing the appointment of respondent No.3 as District Dairy Development Officer.

2. Petition was admitted on 25.02.1999.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that during pendency of the writ petition not only the petitioner but even the respondent No.3, whose appointment is under challenge has also retired.

4. Proceedings before this Court are rendered infructuous by sheer effluxion of time.

5. Writ petition is thus disposed of as infructuous.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

May 2, 2022
vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-7014-1998 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 2, 2022

Kharati Lal, Conductor

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional AG Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition was filed in the year 1998, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to cross the efficiency bar after quashing orders dated 21.07.1994, 29.03.1995 and 08.06.1995 (Annexures P-1 to P-3 respectively).

2. Petition was admitted on 19.05.1998.

3. When called out for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 23 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case, any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

May 2, 2022
vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-7123-1998 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 2, 2022

Constable Shobha Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional AG Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition was filed in the year 1998, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to enter the name of the petitioner in List-C (i.e. entitlement of benefit of Lower School Course) along with all consequential benefits.

2. Petition was admitted on 20.04.2001.

3. When called out for hearing today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 23 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case, any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

May 2, 2022

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

450

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-7148-1998 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 2, 2022

Urmila Devi

.....Petitioner

versus

Subordinate Services Selection Board Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional AG Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition was filed in the year 1998, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.1 to consider the case of the petitioner for regular appointment on the post of Art and Craft teacher (Drawing).

2. Petition was admitted on 23.11.1998.

3. When called out for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 23 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case, any cause of action still survives.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

May 2, 2022

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-9534-1998 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 2, 2022

Rajbir Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**Present:** None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional AG Haryana.

Mr. G.S. Bal, Senior Advocate with
 Mr. Dilshad Singh Gill, Advocate,
 For respondent No.4.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition was filed in the year 1998, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the impugned show-cause-notice dated 18.05.1998 (Annexure P-6) and the order dated 25.06.1998 (Annexure P-11) vide which the petitioner has been reverted from the post of Assistant to the post of Clerk.

2. Petition was admitted on 26.08.1998.

3. When called out for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 23 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case, any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

May 2, 2022
 vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP- 7257-1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 02, 2022

B.N. Narang, Workshop Superintendent

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Deepak Agnihotri, Advocate,
For the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional A.G, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant him the selection grade w.e.f. 1st June, 1992 i.e., the date from which the same has been granted to his juniors vide order dated 12.01.1996 (Annexure P-17) along with arrears of pay with effect from the same date.

2. Petition was filed in the year 1998 and has been lying admitted ever since. When called for hearing, learned counsel is unable to assist the Court for lack of instructions. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite attempts, his office could not contact the petitioner.

3. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 23 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case any cause of action still survives.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

May 02, 2022

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7267-1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 02, 2022

Veena Kumari Sharma, JBT Teacher

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. R.S. Sangwan, Advocate,
For the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents not to reduce the pay scale of the petitioner from basic pay Rs.6,200/- to basic pay Rs.5,875/- without affording an opportunity of being heard.

2. Petition was filed in the year 1998 and has been lying admitted ever since. When called for hearing, learned counsel is unable to assist the Court for lack of instructions. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite attempts, his office could not contact the petitioner.

3. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 23 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case any cause of action still survives.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

May 02, 2022

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7758-1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 02, 2022

Shashi Kumar, JBT Teacher

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate,
For the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant him higher pay scale on acquiring higher qualification, which is now revised to Rs.1400-2600 w.e.f. 01.04.1992 with all consequential benefits.

2. Petition was filed in the year 1998 and has been lying admitted ever since. When called for hearing, learned counsel is unable to assist the Court for lack of instructions. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite attempts, his office could not contact the petitioner.

3. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 23 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case any cause of action still survives.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

May 02, 2022

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7806-1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 02, 2022

Umesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate,
For the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider his case for regularization in light of policy decisions dated 07.03.1996 and 18.03.1996 (Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively).

2. Petition was filed in the year 1998 and has been lying admitted ever since. When called for hearing, learned counsel is unable to assist the Court for lack of instructions. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite attempts, his office could not contact the petitioner.

3. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 23 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application in case any cause of action still survives.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

May 02, 2022

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10317-1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 02, 2022

Yashpal and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Nitish Sharma, Advocate
For the petitioners.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners are seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the impugned selection and appointment of the private respondents to the post of Agriculture Development Officer.

2. Petition was filed in the year 1998 and has been lying admitted ever since. When called for hearing, learned counsel is unable to assist the Court for lack of instructions. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that despite attempts, his office could not contact the petitioners.

3. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 23 years before this Court, it has been rendered infructuous and the petitioners seem to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners- to file an appropriate application in case any cause of action still survives.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

May 02, 2022

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No