

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

279

CWP-6754-2022

Date of decision : 05.04.2022.

Armaan

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who had applied for the post of Assistant Manager (IA) under the general category in the HSIIDC, has not been selected although he had secured 64 marks and the last selected candidate had secured 63 marks. He also submits that the petitioner has not been informed about the reasons of his rejection. The petitioner would be preferring a representation before the competent authority within two days from now and respondent No.1 be directed to consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

Heard.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 that in the event of the petitioner preferring a representation within two days from now, the same shall be considered and decided by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of ten days thereafter.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

April 05, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No