

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(245)

CWP-6414-2019

Date of Decision : September 23, 2022

Meena

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.K. Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was provisionally interviewed by the respondents and, therefore, let the respondents produce the result of the petitioner in Court so that, it could be ascertained whether, the prayer of the petitioner has been rendered academic or is to be decided on merit.

Result is produced in a sealed cover.

Learned State counsel submits that the last candidate selected in the category in which, the petitioner is competing and claiming appointment, has obtained 128 marks.

Sealed envelope opened and perused. The petitioner has not

been able to secure 128 marks.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

September 23, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No