

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP-16812-2022

Date of decision : 02.08.2022

Ajay Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Abhishek K. Premi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has impugned the order dated 02.11.2021 (Annexure P-24) whereby his representation for promotion to the post of Leading Fireman w.e.f. 02.05.2013 has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been appointed to the post of Fireman on 14.06.2000 and was entitled to promotion to the post of Leading Fireman w.e.f. 02.05.2013, the date on which a similarly situated employee, namely, Surinder Kumar had been promoted. He also submits that 20 posts were lying vacant and the petitioner should have been promoted w.e.f. 02.05.2013.

Heard.

The petitioner has been promoted as Leading Fireman in the year 2021 in terms of the new rules which came in operation w.e.f. 03.06.2016. There was a requirement of passing of the exam under the new rules. The petitioner has passed the examination and has been promoted in terms of the new rules. Surinder Kumar had been promoted w.e.f. 02.05.2013 but he is senior to the petitioner in the seniority list. The respondents have not filled

other posts by promotion although the same were lying vacant. The petitioner would be aggrieved and entitled to the relief in case any person junior to the petitioner has been promoted ahead of him. There is no such incident of any person junior to the petitioner being promoted from a prior date.

Therefore, I do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 02, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No