

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.106

CWP No.7007 of 2020

Date of Decision: 09.08.2022

Rajender Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Deepika, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was appointed as a part time employee by respondent No.3 on 14.08.1984 and the claim of the petitioner was covered for regularization of his service as per the policy dated 30.12.1998/25.02.1999 (Annexure P-2) of the State of Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the similarly situated employees were appointed after the petitioner and have already been granted the benefit of regularization of their services under the policy dated 30.12.1998/25.02.1999 (Annexure P-2) but the petitioner has been declined the said benefit, which amounts to discrimination. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one Anguri Devi, in whose favour the order was passed in the year 2011 and a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-8 with the present petition, was appointed in the year 1986 and was regularised from the year 1999 onwards and hence, the petitioner is also entitled for the said benefit.

Learned counsel submits that the grievance which has been raised in the present petition has already been raised in the legal notice dated 25.08.2019 (Annexure P-10), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage if respondent No.3 is directed to decide the same in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection with regard to deciding the legal notice dated 25.08.2019 (Annexure P-10) by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3 to decide the legal notice dated 25.08.2019 (Annexure P-10) by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

09.08.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No