

[134] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.602 of 2022
Date of Decision :30.03.2022

Neetu Ahlawat ...Petitioner

Versus

Dr. Avnesh Verma, Registrar, Guru Jambheshwar
University of Science and Technology, Hisar & anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-10) dated 01.12.2021 in CWP No.24326 of 2021.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-10) reveals that CWP No.24326 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for allotment of official accommodation to her as per her entitlement under the House Allotment Rules and Regulations of Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, Hisar within 01 month from the date of receipt of copy of the order and till then the petitioner was allowed to retain the accommodation where she was putting up at that point of time.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondents to do the needful in terms of order dated 01.12.2021.

[4] On query, learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that

pursuant to order (Annexure P-10) dated 01.12.2021 in CWP No.24326 of 2021, order (Annexure P-11) dated 24.12.2021 has been passed, rejecting the claim of the petitioner.

[5] Learned counsel for the petitioner however contends that the same is not as per the rules and regulations, therefore, the respondents are liable to be proceeded against under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[6] I have considered the submission of learned counsel and perused the paper book.

[7] Admittedly, order (Annexure P-11) dated 24.12.2021 has been passed by respondent No.1 in compliance of order dated 01.12.2021 in CWP No.24326 of 2021 and the claim of the petitioner for allotment of official accommodation has been considered as per the rules applicable and rejected. In case the petitioner is aggrieved that the consideration is not in accordance with the rules, the remedy of the petitioner would be to take out appropriate proceedings in respect thereto in accordance with law otherwise than by way of the instant petition.

[8] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order (Annexure P-11) dated 24.12.2021 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)

Judge

30.03.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*