

COCP-600-2022

Date of Decision : 27.05.2022

Dushyant Sharma

...Petitioner

versus

Isha Kamboj

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Himanshu Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. M.M. Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 13.01.2020, in CWP-9110-2018.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1, reveals that CWP-9110-2018, was allowed vide order dated 13.01.2020 and the respondent was directed to recommend the case of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Water Pump Operator Grade-II within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and thereafter, Public Health Engineering Department, Haryana, was directed to issue appointment letter to the petitioner within next 15 days besides paying costs of Rs.25,000/- to the petitioner.

3. Learned DAG, Haryana, refers to order Annexure P/4 to contend that while dismissing LPA-785-2020, costs of Rs.25,000/- imposed by the Writ Court were waived by the Hon'ble Division Bench

the petitioner for appointment to the post of Water Pump Operator, Grade-II, vide communication No. HSSC / Confd. / Recomm / 2022 / 659 dated 25.05.2022. The same is taken on record. Copy therefore, supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to take action in terms of order Annexure P/1, dated 13.01.2020, in CWP-9110-2018, against the Public Health Engineering Department, Haryana, in case of non-issuance of appointment letter within the time frame stipulated in order Annexure P/1 dated 13.01.2020.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent, under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

27.05.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No