

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-941-2020

Date of Decision: 23.03.2022

RAM RATTAN

...Petitioner

vs.

A. K. SINGH AND ORS

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Sanjay Mittal, Addl.AG, Haryana
for respondent No.3

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 7.1.2020, in CWP-26896-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 7.1.2020 in CWP-26896-2019 reveals that that the petitioner was directed to be not relieved and status quo with regard to his appointment be maintained.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 states that order Annexure P-1 dated 7.1.2020 has been complied with and the petitioner has been allowed to continue in service, therefore, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is warranted against respondent No.3.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner also states that order Annexure P-1 dated 7.1.2020 has been complied with and in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt

5. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel and in view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against respondent No.3 under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.03.2022
gsv

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No