

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.14069 of 2022
Reserved on: 08.08.2022
Pronounced on: 22.09.2022

Aakash and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
364	19.07.2021	City Jind, District Jind	380, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, 201 IPC

The petitioners, arraigned as accused in the above captioned FIR, have come up before this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of the FIR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise with the aggrieved person.

2. During the pendency of the petition, the accused and the aggrieved person have compromised the matter, and its copy is annexed with this petition as Annexure P-2.

3. After that, the petitioners came up before this Court to quash the FIR, and in the quashing petition, impleading the aggrieved person as respondent.

4. As per the report of JMIC, Jind, although a compromise on affidavit has taken place but the complainant Mohan Lal did not come present to record his statement.

5. Since the aggrieved persons did not come present for recording the veracity of compromise, as such this court cannot proceed further in this matter.

6. Given above, the petition is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh petition and there would be no necessity re-examine the accused persons(s), whose statements stand recorded. The copies of the statements alongwith report would suffice.

Petition dismissed in the terms mentioned above, with liberty reserved.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

22.09.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.