

124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7167-2022

Date of Decision: 05.04.2022

Kulwinder Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Meenu, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that respondent No.4 has passed an order dated 22.07.2010 (Annexure P-1/2), refixing the salary of the petitioners, against which order the petitioners preferred an appeal before the Managing Director, PEPSU Road Transport Corporation, but despite the fact that ten years have passed, still the said appeal is not being decided by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that by withdrawal of the increments, the petitioners were getting lower salary than their juniors, which is not permissible keeping in view the settled principle of law, therefore at this stage, petitioners will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is given to respondent No.3 to decide the said appeals dated 16.10.2021, being Annexures P-4/1, P-4/2 and P-4/3, filed by petitioner No.1, 2 and 3, respectively, by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the fact that it is being alleged that the appeals

dated 16.10.2021, being Annexures P-4/1, P-4/2 and P-4/3, preferred by the petitioners against orders dated 04.05.2012, 22.07.2010 and 28.07.2008, being Annexures P-1/1, P-1/2 and P-1/3, respectively, are still pending consideration with respondent No.3, hence the said authority is directed to decide the said appeals dated 16.10.2021, by passing the appropriate speaking orders within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order, if not already decided.

05.04.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No