

**129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-796-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.09.2022

M/s Om Parkash Sushil Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar Girdhar

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. P.K.Ganga, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

Questioning the correctness of the order passed by the trial court on 06.02.2020, while closing the plaintiff's evidence, this revision petition has been filed. The plaintiff has filed a suit for recovery of Rs.1,60,901/- alongwith interest at the rate of 1 % per mensum. The court has ordered closure of the plaintiff's evidence on the ground that he has already availed four opportunities.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that due to communication gap between the plaintiff and his counsel, the petitioner could not lead evidence. He submits that the case is now fixed for defendant's evidence and the plaintiff is prepared to bring his entire evidence on the next date of hearing i.e 09.09.2022, the date already fixed.

Despite notice, the respondent has not entered appearance.

In the interest of justice, let the trial court grant one opportunity to the plaintiff to produce his entire evidence. Let the trial court fix a date granting a month's time to the plaintiff to bring his entire

evidence. However, such opportunity shall be subject to payment of costs of Rs.2,000/-, which shall be paid to the defendant.

With these observations, the present petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

06.09.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE