

(113) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.2763 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision:29.03.2022

Neelu Devi and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl.AG., Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J(Oral)

The petitioners namely, Neelu Devi and Raju Verma have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for seeking protection of their life and personal liberty from private respondent No.4.

The contention of the petitioners is that they are major, aged 33 years and 40 years respectively. In support thereof, copy of the Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) have been appended. It is pleaded that petitioner no.1 is married to respondent no.4 and out of the said wedlock, they have two children. It is further pleaded that respondent no.4 is a drunkard person and used to beat petitioner no.1 without any reason. It is further pleaded that petitioner no.2 is also a married person and they inclined to marry with each other once they obtain decree of divorce from their respective spouses. It is further pleaded that petitioner no.2 is ready to maintain both the children of petitioner no.1. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl.AG., Haryana, has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 22.03.2022 (Annexure P-3) has also been submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given. Without expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

March 29, 2022

rekha sharma

**[VINOD S. BHARDWAJ]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No.*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No.*