

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP-6726-2022

Date of decision : 31.03.2022.

Vijender Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

DHBVN and others

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. A.K. Viridi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to release the payment of Rs.2,05,265/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is working as a Junior Engineer, has incurred expenses of Rs.2,05,265/- for hiring of bullock carts or vehicles for transportation/shifting and replacement of damaged transformers for restoration of electric supply, continuity of electric supply etc. He has referred to the communications of the respondents with regard to release of pending vouchers.

Heard.

The petitioner is claiming the payment of the expenses incurred by him for various services in 2011-12 and 2014-15 (Annexure P-4). The petition involves disputed questions of fact as to whether the petitioner had actually incurred the expenses and if so, whether the same had been reimbursed to him. The petitioner has referred to the communication sent by the XEN in the year 2017 but thereafter the petitioner had not raised any claim before the respondents till he sent a legal notice on 09.09.2019 (Annexure P-9). The

petition had been belatedly preferred in the year 2022 claiming reimbursement of expenses incurred in the year 2011-12 and 2014-15.

Consequently, I do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 31, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No