

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10791 of 2019
Date of Decision:07.07.2022**

Jarnail Singh and ors.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and ors

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ravish Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Bhupinder Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
for quashing of criminal complaint No.77 dated 19.10.2010 under
Sections 420,465,467,468,471,120-B IPC as also judgment/order of
sentence dated 13.07.2017 passed by learned SDJM, Dhuri and all other
consequential proceedings arising out of the said FIR, as the matter stands
amicably settled in terms of compromise.

Vide judgment/order of sentence dated 13.07.2017 passed by
learned SDJM, Dhuri, the petitioners have been convicted and sentenced
as under:

Name of Covicts	Offence	Sentence Awarded
Jarnail Singh	U/s 465 IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/-and in default of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;
	U/s 467 IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;
	U/s 471 IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;
	U/s 120-B IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/- and in fault of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;s
Shingara Singh	U/s 465 IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/-and in default of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;
	U/s 467 IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;
	U/s 471 IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;
	U/s 120-B IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/- and in fault of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;
Jagrup Singh	U/s 465 IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/-and in default of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;
	U/s 467 IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;
	U/s 471 IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;
	U/s 120-B IPC	To undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 500/- and in fault of payment of fine to further undergo RI for a period of one month;

Feeling aggrieved of the said judgment, petitioner No.1 filed appeal, which is pending before the court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Sangrur.

During the pendency of the appeal, the parties have entered into a compromise.

Vide order dated 08.03.2019, the parties were directed to appear before the learned Appellate Court on 25.03.2019 for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise and the learned Appellate Court was directed to send a report about the genuineness and veracity of the compromise.

A report dated 26.03.2019 of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Sangrur has been received. As per the report, the parties had appeared before learned Appellate Court and got recorded their statements regarding compromise. Learned Appellate Court has stated that the compromise between the parties is valid, genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

In view thereof, the present petition is allowed. Criminal complaint No.77 dated 19.10.2010 under Sections 420,465,467,468,471,120-B IPC as well as judgment/order of sentence dated 13.07.2017 passed by learned SDJM, Dhuri along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioners are hereby quashed.

July 07,2022
Manoj

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | Whether reportable? | Yes / No |
| 2. | Whether reasoned / speaking? | Yes / No |

A report dated 26.03.2019 of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Sangrur has been received. As per the report, the parties had appeared before learned Appellate Court and got recorded their statements regarding compromise. Learned Appellate