

CRM-M-19391-2018
Date of Decision:- 30.08.2022

MAINPAL Petitioner
Verses
STATE OF Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. C.S.Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Narender, submitted that charges have been framed.

Given above, the present petition, seeking quashing of FIR is not maintainable because the orders of framing of charges would overlap the police report filed under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to file a petition against framing of charges, the time for which the present petition was pending before this Court, shall not be counted for the purpose of limitation, in case such petition is filed.

30.08.2022 (ANOOP CHITKARA)
neelam JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No