

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.108

CRM-M-12731 of 2022

Date of Decision: 28.03.2022

Suresh Singh @ Nanha

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.87 dated 28.04.2009, registered under Sections 407 and 420 IPC at Police Station Thana Sadar Panipat, District Panipat.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties and a quashing petition vide CRM-M-10347 of 2022 has also been filed before this Court in this regard, wherein notice of motion has been issued by this Court vide order dated 22.03.2022 (Annexure P-8).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amreek Singh Narwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Mr. Ankur Sheoran, Advocate put in appearance on behalf of the complainant by filing power of attorney, which is taken on record and he admits the factum of compromise having been effected between the parties.

Keeping in view the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties, the present petition is disposed of and accordingly, the petitioner is admitted to concession of anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required and in the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail at the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer subject to the following conditions, as envisaged under section 438(2) Cr.P.C:-

- (i) *that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii) *that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- (iii) *that the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.*

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

28.03.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No