

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Writ Petition No. 2890 of 2022
Date of Decision: 31.03.2022**

Sudama Wanti and another
..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Amarpreet S. Bathindewala, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners, who are in a live-in relationship, by filing the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, are seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of a mandamus, hence directing respondents No. 2 & 3 to give protection to the life, and liberty, of the petitioners, and against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondent Nos. 4 & 5.
2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-3.
3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-3, through a speaking order.
4. The petition is disposed of, accordingly.
5. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

March 31, 2022

'dk kamra'

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>