

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

**CRM-M-14025-2021
Date of Decision:18.07.2022**

INDIVER MAHAJAN

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Pratap Singh Pathania, Advocate
for the complainant/respondent No.2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

Vide the instant petition filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.30 dated 01.03.2021, Annexure P-1, registered under Section 498-A of IPC, 1860, at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot.

FIR, Annexure P-1, has arisen out of a matrimonial dispute, which has been settled by way of a compromise.

Vide order passed on even date in CRM-M-30685-2022 seeking quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise, parties have been directed to appear before the trial court to get their statements recorded. Petition seeking divorce by mutual consent has been instituted by the parties.

While issuing notice in the instant petition, this Court vide order dated 26.03.2021 had granted interim protection to the petitioner and directed him to join the investigation.

Upon instructions from ASI Ramesh Kumar, State counsel submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation, though no recovery has been effected from him.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 26.03.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

18.07.2022
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No