

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12757-2022
Date of decision: 28.03.2022

Vijay Taneja

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Vikas Saroha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.148 dated 20.02.2018 registered under Sections 306/34 IPC at Police Station Saran Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, relies upon order dated 06.08.2021 passed in CRM-M-30360-2021, vide which co-accused Vinay Kumar Nahar has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court. The operative part of the order reads as under:

“Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the FIR was registered at the instance of Inder Lal Grover that his brother Hari Dass Grover used to remain disturbed on account of the money, which he has invested in committees and feeling disturbed, he committed suicide by hanging on a fan with the help of a rope and on the

basis of the suicide note found in his pocket, he has named as many as 17 persons responsible for his death because he has to take money from them. It is further submitted that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the complainant and sons of the deceased has sworn an affidavit dated 24.07.2021 (Annexures P-2 and P-3).

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant has not disputed the fact that the matter stands compromised between the parties.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that complainant Inderlal Grover has acknowledged that there is compromise even with the present petitioner, as per affidavit dated 01.06.2021.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the aforesaid facts.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted the concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it will be open for the Investigating Officer to call upon the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing him a written notice in this regard.

28.03.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No