

CRM-M-10613-2020
Date of Decision:- 13.05.2022

INDERJIT DHAMIJA ...Petitioner

Verses

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Savita Rana, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has today put in appearance and has instructions to say that the complainant aggrieved party is not interested in compromise because of the breach of terms of the compromise.

Given above, the petition is disposed of. However, the petitioner has also prayed for quashing of Annexure P-11 vide which he had been declared proclaimed offender.

In view of the above the liberty is reserved to file another petition for quashing of proclamation orders and disposal of the present petition will not come in the way in case the petitioner chooses to file the said petition.

13.05.2022
neelam

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No