

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 1617 of 2019

Date of Decision: 22.07.2022

Prem Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Mamta

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Senior Advocate
with Ms. Bindu Tanwar, Advocate
for the respondent.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The defendant, in a suit for recovery of ₹13,45,000/-, assails the correctness of the order passed by the learned trial Court permitting the plaintiff to examine the Handwriting and Fingerprint Expert by way of additional evidence. The plaintiff claims that the defendant has executed an agreement to sell in her favour. Whereas the defendant took a stand that there was some other agreement dated 30.05.2011 between the parties. When the defendant appeared in evidence, he denied his signatures on the agreement in question. The defendant has further stated that he has no objection if his signatures are examined by the Handwriting and Fingerprint Expert.

2. The learned trial Court, in its discretion, has allowed the application. Needless to observe that the defendant would get counter

opportunity to lead the evidence.

3. With the observations made above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

July 22, 2022
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No