

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

**CRM-M-13002-2022
Date of Decision:30.03.2022**

Neeraj Kumar Goyal

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, AAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

After arguing for considerable time on the maintainability of quashing petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on the basis of a compromise for the offence under Section 304-A IPC, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if he would be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the father of the deceased has entered into a compromise, as such, this should be a factor for reduction in sentence.

Since the father of the deceased has entered into an out of court compromise, as such, petitioner may bring this fact to the notice of learned Appellate Court by filing an appropriate petition in this regard.

It is further clarified that this petition is not being disposed of on merits but only because of the maintainability given

the pronouncements of Hon'ble Supreme Court that a compromise is not permissible under Section 304-A IPC, petition is disposed of having been withdrawn.

Pending application, if any, shall also stands disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

March 30, 2022
pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No