

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12690-2022  
Date of Decision: 17.08.2022

JASVIR SINGH ... PETITIONER  
VS.  
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Bikram Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur Arora, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)**

On 28.03.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Through instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.0002 dated 04.03.2022 under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC, registered at Police Station Women, Ludhiana Rural, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with the complainant on 21.02.2010. The matrimonial dispute had cropped up between the parties. However, at the earlier stage, a compromise has been effected and the petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act for dissolution of marriage by mutual consent was instituted and the statements of the parties at the stage of first motion were also recorded. However, subsequently, the complainant withdrew the petition. The complainant has also received her gold articles and other articles of istridhan that may be in possession of the petitioner will be returned to her. Furthermore, the petitioner is ready and willing for amicable settlement through the process of mediation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. A.A.Pathak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur Arora, Advocate, also accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

The parties are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 25.04.2022.

The petitioner is directed to pay a sum of Rs.20,000/- to the complainant on her appearance before the Mediation Centre to facilitate her presence and participation in the mediation proceedings.

The report be awaited for 17.08.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

As per the report, despite best efforts, the parties could not arrive at an amicable settlement during the course of mediation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspect(s) and further contends that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 28.03.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

**17.08.2022**  
smriti

**(VIVEK PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No