

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 16091 of 2019
Date of Decision: 07.04.2022

Chhote Lal Pandit
..... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
assisted by ASI Mukesh.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The learned State Counsel, on instructions, meted to him, by ASI Mukesh, submits that in respect of FIR No. 0643 of 21.11.2018, registered at Police Station Hansi City, District Hansi, the Investigating Officer, after completing the investigations, has preferred a cancellation report, before the learned Magistrate concerned.
2. Therefore, the prayer made in the instant petition, qua transfer of investigations, does not survive. However, the remedy, yet, avail to the petitioner, is to make a protest against acceptance of the cancellation report, before the learned Magistrate concerned.
3. In the face of above, the present petition, at this stage, is disposed of, as becoming rendered infructuous, yet with the afore reserved liberty to the petitioner.

April 07, 2022
'dk kamra'

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No