

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-13871-2021**

**Date of Decision:-07.09.2022**

**Billo Bai & Anr.**

.....Petitioners.

Versus

**State of Punjab & Anr.**

.....Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present:- Mr. B.K. Saini, Advocate for  
Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Harjinder Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. I.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for respondent no.2.

\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)**

The Prayer in this petition is for quashing of DDR No.40 dated 22.10.2020 (Annexure P-2) under Sections 324, 34 IPC registered at P.s. Kabarwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib in case FIR No.207 dated 22.10.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 324, 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Kabarwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.03.2021 (Annexure P-3) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 25.03.2021 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 25.03.2021 with regard to the compromise dated 08.03.2021 (Annexure P-3).

In terms of the order dated 25.03.2021 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Sh. Kanwal Jit Singh, Judicial

Magistrate, Ist Class, Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and as per his report dated 11.05.2021 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib accompanied by statements of both the parties, the DDR No.40 dated 22.10.2020 (Annexure P-2) under Sections 324, 34 IPC registered at P.s. Kabarwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib in case FIR No.207 dated 22.10.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 324, 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Kabarwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI )  
JUDGE

September 07, 2022

Vinay

|                                         |                      |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| <b><i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i></b> | <b><i>Yes/No</i></b> |
| <b><i>Whether reportable</i></b>        | <b><i>Yes/No</i></b> |