

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2711-2022

Date of decision: 29.03.2022

PREM CHAND

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed a petition, seeking grant of parole, before the competent authority. Though it is averred to be instituted two years hitherto yet no decision has been made thereon.

2. Consequently, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authorities concerned, to within three weeks hereafter make thereons a decision, in accordance with law, and, through a speaking order.

3. Even if yet the petitioner is aggrieved, an opportunity is reserved to him to re-access the appropriate Courts of law, in accordance with law.

4. Disposed of.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

29.03.2022

Ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No