

265 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1. CRM-M-17587-2017
Date of decision: 19.09.2022

GURWINDER SINGH ...PETITIONER
VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR. ...RESPONDENTS

2. CRM-M-17339-2018

GURWINDER SINGH ...PETITIONER

VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. AG, Punjab.
Mr. B.S. Pathania, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking to quash the FIR bearing No.87 dated 29.10.2016, under Sections 363/366-A/376 IPC and Section 4 of POCSO Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Kanwan, District Pathankot.

In the petition bearing CRM-M-17587-2017, quashing has been sought on merits whereas in the petition bearing CRM-M-17339-2018, quashing has been sought on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the victim in the trial Court has not supported the prosecution version. He seeks to withdraw both the petitions and has restricted his prayer only to the extent that the learned trial Court may be asked to expedite the disposal of the trial.

Prayer is accepted. Petitions are dismissed as withdrawn. However, the learned trial Court is requested to look into the feasibility of expeditious disposal of the case in accordance with law.

19.09.2022
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No