

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(205)

CRM-M-9931-2020

Date of decision:- 28.04.2022

Ishan Pruthi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S.Walia, AAG, Punjab for State-respondent.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.25 dated 02.02.2020, registered for offences under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station City Batala, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR, Annexure P-1, is an outcome of a marital discord, which has now been settled by virtue of compromise dated 07.12.2020. He submits that in pursuance to the compromise, a separate petition (CRM-M-14367-2021) has been filed, wherein pursuant to order passed by this Court, the statements of the parties have been recorded in support of the compromise and a report has also been received. Still further, he submits that marriage between the parties has been

dissolved by judgment and decree passed under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. Counsel submits that in compliance of order dated 06.03.2020 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Upon instructions received from ASI, Joginder Singh, State counsel affirms that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Upon further instructions, he submits that the recovery of alleged dowry articles has been effected from the petitioner and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, order dated 06.03.2020 is made absolute, subject to conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Petition is allowed.

28.04.2022
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No