

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(249)

CRM-M-18392-2016

Date of decision: - 12.05.2022

Abbas

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 5.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of impugned order dated 19.11.2015 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Mewat, vide which application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. filed by the petitioner was dismissed as well as order dated 10.03.2016 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mewat, vide which the revision petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that in the present case, the trial has already been concluded and vide judgment dated 02.05.2018, three accused have been convicted and two have been acquitted and thus, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said fact.

Keeping in view the view the fact that the trial has concluded and vide judgment dated 02.05.2018, three accused have been convicted and two have been acquitted, the present is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, it is made clear that in case, any cause survives to the petitioner, it would be open to him to file a fresh petition, in accordance with law.

May 12, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No