

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12302-2022
Date of Decision:-**15.09.2022**

RUBY SINGH ALIAS RAVI

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

-.-

Present:- Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

-.-

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for grant of regular bail in case having FIR No.107 dated 15.3.2021 registered under Sections 489-A, 489-B, 489-C, 489-D, 34 IPC at Police Station City Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

The counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations appearing on the record, two fake currency note of denomination of ₹500/- and 9 fake currency notes of the denomination of ₹200/- were recovered from the possession of the petitioner by the police. The counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last about 1 year and 6 months and is involved in 2 other cases out of which he has

already been granted bail in one case. The counsel for the petitioner further submits that the trial is going on at very slow pace and it will take considerable time for the trial to conclude and as such no purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for any longer period.

The present petition is contested by the State counsel, who has not disputed the custody period of the petitioner as well as the fact that after completion of investigation challan has been presented and after framing of charges the trial has commenced. The State counsel further submits that already 5 prosecution witnesses have been examined and the witnesses which remain to be examined are official witnesses. The State counsel further opposed the present petition on the ground that the petitioner is having criminal history being involved in 2 other cases.

I have considered the submissions made by counsel for the parties.

In this case, the fake currency notes which are stated to be recovered from the possession of the petitioner are worth ₹2,800/-. The recoveries have already been effected in this case and the petitioner is behind the bars for the last about 1 year & 6 months and with regard to other 2 criminal cases, the counsel for the petitioner has clarified that petitioner is on bail in one of the said 2 cases. In the case at hand, the challan has been presented and after the framing of charges, trial is going on and as has been stated by the State counsel till date 5 witnesses have been examined on behalf of the prosecution and now only official witnesses remains to be examined. So there is no apprehension that if released on bail the petitioner is going to influence the prosecution witnesses. It will take considerable time for the

trial to conclude, so no purpose is going to be served by keeping the accused behind the bars for any longer period.

Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, in future if the petitioner commits offence of the similar nature, then the prosecution will be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail of the petitioner in the present case.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

15.09.2022
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No