

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**CRM-M-13659-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.02.2022**

Pawan Kumar @ Sonu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Vikram Satpal Anand, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Sullar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

CRM-41881-2021

Since the date 06.01.2022 fixed in the main case has already passed, instant application for preponement of hearing of the main petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

Main Case

Learned counsel for petitioner after having gone through the reply furnished at the instance of respondent-State, prays for withdrawal of instant petition and further submits that since petitioner is languishing in custody w.e.f. 02.09.2019, Trial Court may be asked to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

At this stage, learned State counsel submits that out of total thirteen (13) prosecution witnesses, three (03) prosecution witnesses have

already been examined. He further submits that Prosecuting Agency shall make earnest and sincere efforts to examine the remainder of prosecution witnesses while seeking short adjournments.

In view of above, instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Since petitioner is languishing in custody for the last about 2 ½ years, Trial Court is directed to make earnest and sincere efforts to examine the remainder of prosecution witnesses and conclude the trial expeditiously by giving short adjournments.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

08.02.2022

Varinder Prashad

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No