

Sr. No. 306

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 31.03.2022

CWP-13022-1992

Ved Pal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP-15052-2004

Roshan Lal Garg

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP-12166-2003

Ranbir Singh Hooda

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K.S.Brar, Additional A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Vide this common order, I intend to dispose of the
aforementioned three writ petitions, as common question of law and facts
are involved therein.

When, matter was earlier heard on 16.01.2017, following order
was passed:-

*“There are 15 petitioners in a writ petition bearing
CWP No.13022 of 1992 and at one stage by order dated
22.08.2013 the petition was disposed off as having been
rendered infructuous. Thereafter, civil miscellaneous
application bearing CM No.4137 of 2014 was filed for revival
of the case and the same was revived by order dated
17.07.2015. Today, the learned counsel for the petitioners
states that he is appearing only on behalf of the petitioner No.2.
As per the record, the petition had been filed by Sh. Amarjit
Singh, Advocate who is no longer in practice.*

*In the circumstances, it would be necessary to
issue notice to the petitioner No.1 and petitioners No.3 to 15.*

*Learned Additional Advocate General points out
that this petition was filed almost a quarter century ago when
all these persons were in service and the addresses which have
been provided in the memo of parties may not be correct.*

In the circumstances, the duty now lies upon the surviving petitioner to ascertain the correct addressees of his co-petitioners so that notice can be issued to them.

The respondents No.4, 5 & 6 were also represented by Sh. Surya Kant, Advocate as His Lordship then was. The surviving petitioner also has to ascertain the fresh addresses of respondents No.4 to 6 so that notice can be issued to them as well.

Let fresh notice be issued to the petitioners No.1 and 3 to 15 and respondent Nos.4 to 6 on filing of their fresh addresses by the surviving petitioner.

Adjourned to 09.05.2017.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.”

When called out for hearing today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. It seems that by sheer pendency of the writ petition for more than 29 years before this Court, either it has been rendered infructuous or even otherwise the petitioners seem to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

Be that as it may, writ petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file an appropriate application in case, any cause of action still survives.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case files.

31.03.2022
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No