

CRM-M-12693-2022

Date of Decision: 29.3.2022

Saurabh Parwal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. S.K. Sinha, Advocate and
Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Virk, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

This is the second petition filed by the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.101 dated 1.6.2021, registered under Sections 323, 34, 354-A, 377, 406, 498-A and 506 IPC, at Police Station Sector-65, Gurugram, District Gurugram.

Vide order dated 13.10.2021, passed in the first petition bearing CRM-M-43222-2021, it was directed by this Court that no coercive action be taken against the petitioner. However, thereafter without seeking permission of the Court, the petitioner left India and on account of the same, this Court dismissed his petition vide its order dated 9.2.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now the petitioner has come back to India and he should be granted anticipatory bail.

In the facts and circumstances, the Court is not inclined to revise the order dated 9.2.2022, however, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to surrender before the Court concerned. In case, the petitioner surrenders and files an appropriate application before the Court

concerned within 7 days from today, the Court concerned is requested to decide his application for grant of bail expeditiously preferably within 3 days from the date of filing of the same.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

29.3.2022
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No