

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-881-2020

Date of Decision :30.03.2022

Santosh Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Sanjay Kumar and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate for respondent No.4.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4 has filed memo of appearance in Court today. The same is taken on record.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 24.07.2019 in CWP No.17555 of 2019.

[3] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 24.07.2019 reveals that CWP No.17555 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondents to decide legal notice dated 31.05.2019 served by the petitioner by passing a speaking order within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case on decision, it was found that the petitioner was entitled for any monetary benefit, to release the same to her within three months thereafter.

[4] Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4 has produced copy of order dated 25.03.2021. The same is taken on record.

Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner who on perusal thereof states that in view of order dated 25.03.2021 releasing financial benefits of Rs.14,73,524/-, to the petitioner, the petitioner does not press the instant petition while granting liberty to the petitioner to take out proceedings qua non-payment of the benefits as per entitlement by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[5] The same is not opposed to by learned counsel for respondent No.4.

[6] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

30.03.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No