

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

253

CRM-M-17102-2017
Decided on : 19.04.2022

Charanjit Kaur and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No. 2 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 156 dated 28.12.2013 under Sections 323, 324, 452 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 307 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising on the basis of the compromise.

On 18.05.2017, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

Learned counsel for the petitioners would address arguments in terms of the judgment of the hon'ble Supreme Court in Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2014 (2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 482, as to how an FIR can be quashed in which an offence punishable under Section 307 IPC has been alleged, especially

when there is a medical report to the effect that the injury was in fact one dangerous to life.
Adjourned to 18.07.2017.”

Thereafter, the matter was adjourned on several dates and on 28.1.2019 and 11.03.2019, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioners. Today also, nobody had appeared on behalf of the petitioners although the matter has been called twice.

Learned State counsel has submitted that in the present case, the petitioner No. 1 has died and the petitioner No. 2 and 4 have been acquitted and petitioner No. 3 has been declared to be innocent during the course of trial and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, moreso the fact as stated by learned State counsel, which has not been rebutted, as none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

In case, any cause survives, then the petitioners would be at liberty to file a fresh petition for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise, after meeting the observations made by the Coordinate Bench of this Court on 18.05.2017.

April 19th, 2022
Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No