

140

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12622-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:25.03.2022

Gurjant Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure for setting aside the impugned order dated 07.12.2021 (Annexure P-4) passed by the trial Court in case FIR No.16 dated 28.09.2018, registered under Section 3 RP (UP) Act, at Police Station RPF, Amritsar, whereby his bail was cancelled.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that vide order dated 14.11.2018, petitioner was granted regular bail by the trial Court and thereafter, he kept on appearing regularly before the trial Court. However, on 07.12.2021, he did not appear before the trial Court on account of noting down the wrong date and the trial Court proceeded to cancel his bail and forfeited the bail bonds vide order dated 07.12.2021 (Annexure P-4). He submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to participate in the trial proceedings and the date of hearing is now fixed for 19.04.2022.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab,

accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and states that the advance

copy of the petition has already been received by him. He opposes the prayer and submits that since the petitioner was well-aware of the date of hearing before the trial Court, but he failed to appear, therefore, the Court is justified in cancelling his bail. However, it is not disputed by him that the case is coming up before the trial Court for hearing on 19.04.2022.

A perusal of the order dated 07.12.2021 (Annexure P-4) reflects that the trial Court proceeded to pass the extreme order of cancellation of bail for the solitary absence of petitioner, who was on bail since 14.11.2018. At times, the accused or his counsel can be prevented by sufficient reasons to put an appearance before the Court on a given date and every such absence cannot be necessarily construed as a deliberate and willful absence. The explanation offered by petitioner for non appearance before the appellate Court appears to be justified and therefore, the same is accepted.

Consequently, the impugned order dated 07.12.2021 (Annexure P-4) is set aside subject to appearance of the petitioner before the trial Court on or before the date fixed i.e. 19.04.2022 and he is allowed to remain on the same bail bonds and surety bonds. In the event of non-compliance of this order, the order dated 07.12.2021 (Annexure P-4) would remain intact.

Disposed off.

25.03.2022
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes	No
Yes	No