

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7026 of 2000 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.05.2022

Balbir Singh and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. R.D.Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned orders dated 20.05.1999 and 01.06.1998 (Annexures P-4 and P-5) issued to the petitioners to the extent that the services of the petitioners have been regularized w.e.f. 23.03.1999 and 01.06.1998, respectively, instead of from the dates on the completion of two years service.

2. Petition was admitted on 04.02.2002.

3. When called out for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 21 years before this Court, either it has been rendered infructuous or even otherwise the petitioners seem to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

4. In any case, it appears that even on merits, claim of the petitioners is not admissible in view of the following stand taken in para

No. 1 of the reply, which is reproduced herein below for ready reference:-

"1. That the petitioners have no cause of action/locus standi to file the present writ petition as the services of the petitioners have been regularized in view of the instruction as contained in Annexure P-3. There were disciplinary action pending against the petitioners and on the finalization of the disciplinary proceedings, the services of the petitioners have been regularized w.e.f. 23.03.1999 and 01.06.1998 respectively by the competent authority. So the action of the answering respondents is legal, valid, and with in the four corners of the instructions/policy issued by the competent authority and as such the petition is liable to be dismissed."

5. I am in agreement with the stand taken by the respondents as aforesaid. Neither any replication has been filed to the afore noted stand of the respondents nor any additional affidavit of any kind to controvert the same.

6. In view of the aforesaid, no grounds are made out to interfere.

7. Dismissed.

23.05.2022
hemlata

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No