

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CRM-M-12274-2022
Date of Decision: 04.04.2022

Joginder Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Kamaldeep Singh Redhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA

Vide order dated 24.03.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 24.03.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further submits that Section 307 IPC was added after a period of about 08 months of registration of the FIR.
3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.
4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.
5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 24.03.2022 is hereby made absolute.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

04.04.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No