

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

233

CRM-M-16867-2017

Date of Decision: 15.12.2022

Santosh Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

Ghansham Dass

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the respondent.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The limited prayer for which the petitioner has come up before this Court is to quash the order dated 12.04.2017, vide which, the revision petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed on the ground of non-filing of correct addresses.

Petitioner's counsel submits that now the respondent has appeared before this Court and surprisingly the addresses mentioned in the Power of attorney filed before this Court and the addresses before the trial Court are same, on account of which the petition was dismissed in default for not filing of correct addresses.

Counsel for the respondent submits that despite availing numerous opportunities, petitioner failed to file correct address or serve the respondent and revision was rightly dismissed by the court below.

Given the submissions of the petitioner's counsel and the address in the present petition as well as in the revision petition, it appears that the respondent was avoiding service knowingly. Hence, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 12.04.2017 is set aside. Revision petition before the trial Court is restored to its original number.

The trial Court to serve the respondents on the addresses given on power of attorney filed before this Court which is already mentioned in the revision petition also. The trial be expedited on top priority.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

15.12.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no