

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11103-2019 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: MARCH 31st, 2022**

NARINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2-complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioner, who is the accused in F.I.R No.247, dated 06.10.2004, under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya, vide report

between the parties is genuine, voluntarily and without any pressure or coercion and with the intervention of the respectables. It is mentioned in the report that though petitioner was declared proclaimed offender but was granted bail vide order dated 11.04.2019.

3. Respondent No.2 is not represented by his counsel, which shows that he does not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.247, dated 06.10.2004, under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

MARCH 31st, 2022
poonam negi

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No