

101 CM-2572-CWP-2022 and
RA-CW-58-2020 in
CWP-17784 of 2019 and other connected applications

Narender Sharma v. State of Haryana and others

Present: None for the review applicant.

Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate, and
Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate,
for the non-applicant-petitioner.

Learned counsel for the review applicant, i.e. the respondent in the
accompanying petition (HSVP), is not present.

However, learned counsel for the non-applicant (petitioner in
CWP-17784 of 2019) submits that a similar application filed in an identical
case, i.e. CWP-6913 of 2019, was dismissed by this court (a co-ordinate Bench,
of which one of us, Sudhir Mittal, J., was a member), on 13.01.2021, the
application in that case being CM-13410-CWP-2020, and that petition being
CWP-6913 of 2019 (Lekh Ram Rawat and another v. State of Haryana and
others).

The order passed in that application reads as follows:-

“CM-13410-2020

This is an application for preponment of the date of hearing
of the review application.

For the reasons recorded, the same is allowed and the
review application is taken on Board today itself.

RA-CW-54-2020

This review application has been filed on the ground that
the amendment of Regulation 5(3) of the Haryana Urban
Development (Disposal of Land and Building) Regulations, 1978
(for short 'the Regulations') was not noticed.

CM-2572-CWP-2022 in
RA-CW-58-2020 in
CWP-17784 of 2019 and other connected applications

-2-

Learned counsel has however not denied that Regulation 5(5) of the Regulations still exists in the statute book.

Consequently, application stands dismissed.”

Consequently, these applications are also dismissed, there being no ground for review made out in our opinion.

A copy of this order be also placed on the file of the other connected matters.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 27, 2022
dinesh

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE