

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-6104-2022

Date of decision : 25.03.2022.

Paramveer

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the salary of the petitioner has not been released from December, 2017 to March, 2020. He also contends that similarly situated persons have approached this Court by preferring CWP No.3015 of 2021 and a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 10.02.2021 had disposed of the petition with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice sent by the petitioners therein and in case, they were found entitled to the payment of salary, the same shall be reimbursed to them along with 6% interest from the date of their termination. In case, the amount was not payable and the petitioners therein had not worked with the respondents, speaking order be passed and communicated to them forthwith. Learned counsel has drawn my attention to the legal notice dated 01.08.2019 (Annexure P-13) sent by the petitioner in this regard and submits that respondent No.2 may be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 4.

Heard.

Without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice 01.08.2019 (Annexure P-13) sent by the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the petitioner is found entitled for the payment of salary, the amount shall be duly reimbursed to him along with interest @ 6% p.a. from the date his services were terminated. In case, the amount is not payable and it is found that the petitioner did not work with the respondents, speaking order be passed and communicated to him forthwith.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 25, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No