

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

279

**CRM-M-12523-2022
Decided on : 29.09.2022**

Rajat

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is for quashing of an FIR No.69, dated 18.02.2022, lodged under Sections 323, 324, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal (Annexure P-1), along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise dated 17.03.2022 (Annexure P-2), arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 25.03.2022, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 17.03.2022 (P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the orders dated 25.03.2022 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Karnal, and as per the report dated 19.04.2022 submitted to this Court, both the parties have

got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Karnal, accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.69, dated 18.02.2022, lodged under Sections 323, 324, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

September 29, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No