

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5871 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision.23.03.2022

(Heard through VC)

Amandeep

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner herein assails the merit list as prepared by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission for appointment to the posts of Sub Inspector (Male) in advertisement No.3 dated 15.06.2021.

2. It is contended that the petitioner has obtained 56 marks in written examination and he has cleared physical screening test as well as the physical measurement test. It is further contended that as the petitioner belongs to Tapriwas caste (denotified tribe of Haryana), he would be entitled to additional 5 marks, which would make his total as 61 marks. However, the said benefit has been denied to him. It is also contended that in the revised merit list, petitioner's name does not figure as successful candidate. He has preferred representation (Annexure P-6) as well as legal notice (Annexure P-7) but no decision has been taken thereon so far.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana, who is present

through the medium of video conferencing, accepts notice for the respondents submits that the official respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representation/legal notice of the petitioner. Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to respondent-State during the course of day.

5. Without commenting on merits of the case, I dispose of the instant petition by directing the official respondents to take a decision on the representation/legal notice (Annexures P-6 & P-7) of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to deposit costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

March 23, 2022

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No